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## 2011-12 Student \& Parent Census: STUDENT SUCCESS INDICATORS - ELEMENTARY PANEL

## Background

This fact sheet summarizes the academic achievement patterns of the Toronto District School Board's (TDSB) Grade 3 and 6 students using various demographic and student family background characteristics from the TDSB's Parent Census and School Information Systems (SIS) in 2007-08 and 2011-12. For the students in Grades 3 and 6 who completed the Education Quality and Accountability Office (EQAO) assessments for Reading, Writing, and Mathematics Primary Division (Grades 1 to 3) and Junior Division (Grades 4 to 6), results are reported as percentages of students achieving at or above the provincial standard (Levels 3 and 4)*.

## What is it?

- Student Demographic Characteristics and Student Achievement: This section presents trends on students' academic achievement compared to their demographic characteristics such as gender, ethno-racial background, region of birth, and language. Results are reported only for groups with 100 or more students.
A. Gender: Students' gender is derived from the TDSB's School Information Systems. EQAO results by gender are as published by EQAO in 2007-08 and 2011-12.

*Notes for Interpretation: Results in this report represent the whole population for the student characteristics such as gender, region, and language. The family background factors (i.e., parents' place of birth, parental presence at home, parent educational background, and family socio-economic status) and student race represents only those who responded to the related questions. Overall, the TDSB's 2012 Parent Census return rate was $67 \%$ for Grade 3 and $62 \%$ for Grade 6. In general, the matching rate for the analyses for student race and family background factors was approximately $66 \%$ for the Grade 3 EQAO results, and approximately $60 \%$ for the Grade 6 EQAO results depending on the related item (counts and percentages representing each figure were provided throughout the report). Therefore, results should be interpreted with caution since achievement and demographic characteristics of non-respondents may affect the overall results. The initial analysis shows that students whose parents completed the TDSB's 2012 Parent Census are more likely to be from backgrounds that, to some extend, are more related with higher student achievement.

THE TREND: In 2011-12, the proportion of Grade 3 female students achieving at or above the provincial standard (Levels 3 and 4) was higher than that of male students in Reading (9\%), Writing (12\%), and Mathematics (2\%). Over the past five years (2007-08 to 2011-12), the gender gap has declined $2 \%$ in Reading ( $11 \%$ to $9 \%$ ), $2 \%$ in Writing ( $14 \%$ to $12 \%$ ), and $1 \%$ in Mathematics ( $3 \%$ to $2 \%$ ) (see Figures 1-3).

Patterns in the gender gap trend were similar for Grade 6 students. In 2011-12, the proportion of Grade 6 female students achieving at or above the provincial standard (Levels 3 and 4) was higher than that of male students in Reading ( $8 \%$ ), Writing (13\%), and Mathematics (3\%). Over the last five years (2007-08 and 2011-12), the gender gap decreased $5 \%$ in Reading ( $13 \%$ to $8 \%$ ), $4 \%$ in Writing ( $17 \%$ to $13 \%$ ), and remained the same in Mathematics (3\%) (see Figures 4-6).
B. Students' Ethno-racial Background: Students' ethno-racial background information is from parents of Grade 3 and 6 students who completed the TDSB's 2008 and 2012 Parent Census.
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Notes: Figures 10-12 represent $60 \%$ ( 10,159 in Reading and Writing and 10,154 in Mathematics) of the students from both EQAO and Parent Census for all subjects in 2011-12 and 60\% (10,983 in Reading, Writing, and Mathematics) of the students from both EQAO and Parent Census for all subjects in 2007-08. EQAO results for the TDSB are as published by EQAO in 2007-08 and 2011-12.

THE TREND: In all three subject areas, for both Grade 3 and 6 , considerable achievement gaps were observed across students' ethno-racial groups. Similar to the TDSB's 2008 Parent Census, Black, Latin American, and Middle Eastern students continue to experience higher challenges in academic achievement compared to other groups or the TDSB in general. For example, in Grade 3 Reading 51\% of the Black students, $62 \%$ of the Latin American students, and $61 \%$ of the Middle Eastern students achieved at or above the provincial standard while East Asian (80\%), White (76\%), South Asian ( $69 \%$ ), Mixed ( $69 \%$ ), and Southeast Asian ( $66 \%$ ) students showed relatively higher achievement rates compared to the TDSB's average (65\%) and other ethno-racial groups. In some instances, the achievement gap across ethno-racial groups is as much as $50 \%$ on the EQAO Assessments (percentage at or above Level 3 ) (see Figures 7-12).

Comparing results to the 2007-08 cohort, the student achievement pattern across ethno-racial groups is somewhat similar; however, the proportion of students achieving at or above the provincial standard improved for most ethno-racial groups. Although the proportion of students at the provincial standard (Levels 3 and 4) remain below that of the TDSB, in general with a few exceptions some of the targeted groups* (e.g., Latin American, Middle Eastern, and Black) showed similar or higher improvement rates compared to the TDSB and some of the other higher achieving groups. We know from previous TDSB studies, that after taking into account student demographics, schooling characteristics (e.g., Special Education Needs, English Language Learners, learning skills, etc.), and family background as protective factors, the predicted achievement gap on students' ethno-racial background significantly reduces. For educators and policy makers, this suggests that the variability of ethno-racial gaps in achievement can be improved through early intervention, sound personalized instructional practices, and effective parental engagement.
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## Why is this information important？

Previous analysis，as well as this Fact Sheet，have found that there are clear differences among groups of students using demographic variables．The analysis of student demographic characteristics and family background in relation to their achievement is essential for policy decision making，educational improvement planning，and contextual stories of TDSB students．This analysis also provides evidence to evaluate the effectiveness of different types of educational programs and interventions within the TDSB．

C．Students＇Region of Birth：Students＇region of birth information is from the TDSB＇s School Information Systems ．

| Region of Gr． 3 Rea | Birth－ ding | Figure 13 | Region of Birth－ Gr． 3 Writing |  | Figure 14 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Region of } \\ & \text { Gr. } 3 \text { Mat } \end{aligned}$ | Birth thema | Figure 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\stackrel{\text { \％}}{0}$ |  | Total |  |  | Total | 吡 |  | $\begin{array}{ll} \\ 70 \% & \text { Total } \\ 13609\end{array}$ |
|  | 2011－12 | 66\％ 12125 |  | 2011－12 | 78\％ 12125 |  | $\frac{2011-12}{2007-08}$ |  |
|  | 2007－08 | 58\％ 11924 |  | 2007－08 | 64\％ 11924 |  |  | 67\％ 13160 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 81\％ 999 |  | 2011－12 |  |
|  | 2011－12 | 66\％ 999 |  | 2011－12 |  |  |  | 73\％ 1000 |
|  | 2007－08 | 54\％ 1349 |  | 2007－08 | 65\％ 1349 |  | 2007－08 | 68\％ 1354 |
| 离离嵒 | 2011－12 | 69\％ 457 |  | 2011－12 | 78\％ 457 |  | $2011-12$ | 83\％ 474 |
|  | 2007－08 | 64\％ 771 |  | 2007－08 | 70\％ 771 |  | 2007－08 | 80\％ 790 |
|  |  |  |  | 2011－12 | 72\％ 374 | $3^{\text {cix }}$ | 2011－12 | 68\％ 390 |
|  | $\frac{2007-08}{}$ | 46\％ 414 |  | 2007－08 | 58\％ 414 |  | 2007－08 | 64\％ 425 |
|  | 2011－12 | 68\％ 361 |  | 2011－12 | 80\％ 361 |  | 2011－12 | 72\％ 394 |
|  | 2007－08 | 65\％ 261 |  | 2007－08 | 69\％ 261 |  | 2007－08 | 68\％ 276 |
|  |  | 40\％ 309 |  | 2011－12 | 49\％ 309 |  |  | 51\％ 333 |
|  | 2011－12 |  |  |  |  |  | 2011－12 |  |
|  | 2007－08 | 55\％ 240 |  | 苂 |  |  | 62\％ 240 | 2007－08 | 71\％ 264 |
|  |  | 56\％ 151 |  |  |  | 76\％ 151 |  |  | 68\％ 152 |
|  | 2011－12 |  |  | 2011－12 | 2011－12 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2007－08 | 48\％ 130 |  | 2007－08 | 60\％ 130 | 2007－08 |  | 63\％ 136 |  |
|  |  | 48\％ 124 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2011－12 |  |  |  | 68\％ 124 |  | 2011－12 | 47\％ 124 |  |
|  | 2007－08 | 40\％ 123 |  | 2007－08 | 49\％ 123 |  | 2007－08 | 49\％ 125 |  |
|  |  | ＊number too small to report |  |  | ＊number too small to report |  |  | ＊number too small to report |  |
|  | 2011－12 |  |  |  |  |  | 2011－12 |  |  |
|  | 2007－08 | 40\％ 144 |  |  | 46\％ 144 |  | 2007－08 | 50\％ 149 |  |
|  | 11－12 | ＊number too small to report  <br> $50 \%$ 112 |  | $\frac{2011-12}{2007-08}$ | ＊number too small to report |  | 2011－12 | ＊number too small to report |  |
|  | 2007－08 |  |  |  | 54\％ 112 |  | 2007－08 | 46\％ 114 |  |
|  |  | ＊number too small to report |  | $\frac{2011-12}{2007-08}$ | ＊number too small to report |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2011－12 |  |  |  |  |  | $2011-12$ | 71\％ 101 |  |
|  | 2007－08 | 64\％ 108 |  |  | 69\％ 108 |  | 2007－08 | 69\％ 114 |  |
|  | 2011－12 | 65\％ 15370 <br> $57 \%$ 15866 |  | $\frac{2011-12}{2007-08}$ | 77\％ <br> $64 \%$ <br> 15866 | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\text { O }}$ | $\frac{2011-12}{2007-08}$ | 70\％ 16972 |  |
|  | 2007－08 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 67\％ 17210 |  |
| 0\％20\％40\％60\％80\％100\％ \％of Students at Level 3 and Above |  |  | $0 \% \quad 20 \% \quad 40 \% \quad 60 \% \quad 80 \% \quad 100 \%$ \％of Students at Level 3 and Above |  |  | $0 \% \quad 20 \% \quad 40 \% \quad 60 \% \quad 80 \% \quad 100 \%$ \％of Students at Level 3 and Above |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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Notes: Figures $16-18$ represent $100 \%$ ( 16,928 in Reading and Writing and 16,916 in Mathematics) of the students from both EQAO and SIS for all subjects in 2011-12 and $100 \%$ ( 18,323 in Reading, Writing, and Mathematics) of the students from both EQAO and SIS for all subjects in 2007-08. EQAO results for the TDSB are as published by EQAO in 2007-08 and 2011-12.

THE TREND: In general, a higher proportion of students born in Eastern Asia, US, Canada, South \& Western Europe, and South Asia tend to achieve at or above the provincial standard compared to others and the TDSB in general. Students born in Eastern Europe, English-speaking Caribbean and Region, Eastern Africa, Central \& South America and Mexico, Western Asia, and Southeast Asia are comparatively less likely to achieve similar grades than students born in other regions. For example, in the 2011-12 school year 45\% of students born in the English-speaking Caribbean and Region, $53 \%$ of the students born in Eastern Europe, $62 \%$ of students born in Central \& South America \& Mexico, $62 \%$ of students born in Eastern Africa, 65\% of students born in Western Asia, and 65\% students born in Southeast Asia were at or above the provincial standard in Grade 6 Reading; compared to $79 \%$ of students born in South Asia, 77\% of students born in South \& Western Europe, $77 \%$ of students born in the US, $76 \%$ of students born in Eastern Asia, and $75 \%$ of students born in Canada (see Figures 13-18).

Compared to 2007-08, students' region of birth results are somewhat similar with proportionate differences. However, most regions have increased in the percentage of students at the provincial standard compared to 2007-08 .

## 2011-12 EQAO: Grade 3 \& 6 Achievement Outcomes

D. Students' Language: Students' language information is from the TDSB's School Information Systems .

| Table 1: 2007-08 and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Count(SIS) | Reading |  |  |  | Writing |  |  |  | Mathematics |  |  |  | Reading |  |  |  | Writing |  |  |  | Mathematics |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 2011-12 \\ & (14871) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2007-08 \\ & (15487) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2011-12 \\ & (14871) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2007-08 \\ & (15487) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2011-12 \\ & (16421) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2007-08 \\ & (16814) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 2011-12 \\ (16546) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2007-08 \\ & (18243) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2011-12 \\ & (16546) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2007-08 \\ & (18243) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2011-12 \\ & (16534) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2007-08 \\ & (18243) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Language: | Level <br>  | Total | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Levels } \\ 384}}^{\text {L }}$ | (1) | ${ }_{\text {Lex }}^{\text {Levels }}$ | Total | $\substack{\text { Levels } \\ 384}$ | T | ${ }_{\text {Lex }}^{\text {Levels }} 3$ |  | Levels | Total | ${ }_{\text {Lex }}^{\text {Levels }}$ | Total | $\substack{\text { Levels } \\ 384}_{\text {ces }}$ | 硣 | tevels | Total | Levels | - | ${ }^{\text {Levels }}$ |  |  |  |
| abic | 56\% | 276 | 45\% | 251 | 68\% | 276 | 54\% | 251 | 63\% | 293 | 58\% | 265 | 65\% | 298 | 56\% | 250 | 65\% | 298 | 58\% | 250 | 55\% | 297 | 6\% | 250 |
| Bengali | 69\% | 467 | 58\% | 431 | 82\% | 467 | 68\% | 431 | 73\% | 474 | 67\% | 434 | 84\% | 449 | 71\% | 363 | 85\% | 449 | 76\% | 363 | 71\% | 449 | 68\% | 363 |
| Chinese | 7\% | 1356 | 67\% | 1724 | 85\% | 1356 | 73\% | 1724 | 88\% | 1447 | 81\% | 1781 | $83 \%$ | 1816 | 75\% | 2205 | 84\% | 1816 | 79\% | 2205 | 5\% | 1816 | 83\% | 2205 |
| Dari | 50\% | 150 |  | 99 | 68\% | 150 |  | 99 | 56\% | 154 |  | 99 | 58\% | 125 | 25\% | 106 | 64\% | 125 | 36\% | 106 | 45\% | 125 | 35\% | 106 |
| English | 64\% | 6475 | 59\% | 004 | 76\% | 6475 | 62\% | 7004 | 67\% | 7497 | 65\% | 7942 | 73\% | 7463 | 63\% | 834 | 73\% | 7463 | 64\% | 8343 | 57\% | 7460 | 55\% | 8343 |
| French |  | 67 | * | 34 |  | 67 |  | 34 | 71\% | 117 |  | 87 | 74\% | 103 | 68\% | 109 | 77\% | 103 | 68\% | 109 | 65\% | 103 | 59\% | 109 |
| Greek | 73\% | 132 | * | 94 | 81\% | 132 | * | 94 | 70\% | 159 | 68\% | 104 | 77\% | 146 | 71\% | 125 | 75\% | 146 | 4\% | 125 | 64\% | 146 | 62\% | 125 |
| Gujarat | 70\% | 416 | 52\% | 459 | 87\% | 416 | 64\% | 459 | 78\% | 420 | 73\% | 461 | 78\% | 414 | 57\% | 392 | 82\% | 414 | 64\% | 392 | 69\% | 412 | \% | 392 |
| Hindi | 1\% | 178 | 68\% | 185 | 85\% | 178 | 72\% | 185 | 80\% | 183 | 75\% | 190 | 84\% | 134 | 77\% | 163 | 89\% | 134 | 73\% | 163 | 75\% | 134 | 74\% | 163 |
| Hungarian | 17\% | 114 |  | 29 | 21\% | 114 |  | 29 | 18\% | 117 |  | 30 | 17\% | 120 |  | 27 | 16\% | 120 |  | 27 | 14\% | 118 |  |  |
| Korean | 70\% | 150 | 65 | 159 | 79\% | 150 | 74\% | 159 | 82\% | 180 | 80\% | 70 | 77\% | 250 | 65\% | 320 | 82\% | 250 | 70\% | 320 | \%\% | 250 | 81\% | 320 |
| Persian (Farsi) | 58\% | 283 | 43\% | 244 | 75\% | 283 | 56\% | 244 | 65\% | 313 | 62\% | 260 | 71\% | 312 | 53\% | 367 | 73\% | 312 | 58\% | 367 | 60\% | 312 | 51\% | 367 |
| Punjabi | 68\% | 268 | 59\% | 333 | 83\% | 268 | 68\% | 333 | 74\% | 272 | 71\% | 334 | 77\% | 305 | 60\% | 343 | 83\% | 305 | 65\% | 343 | 62\% | 305 | 61\% | 343 |
| Russian | 71\% | 211 | 58\% | 179 | 82\% | 211 | 65\% | 179 | 83\% | 255 | 77\% | 224 | 84\% | 247 | 72\% | 268 | 84\% | 247 | 75\% | 268 | 76\% | 247 | 74\% | 268 |
| Serbian | 78\% | 103 | 73\% | 110 | 88\% | 103 | 81\% | 110 | 80\% | 123 | 85\% | 126 | 87\% | 107 | 76\% | 139 | 86\% | 107 | 78\% | 139 | 76\% | 107 | 73\% | 139 |
| Somali | 54\% | 331 | 38\% | 378 | 68\% | 331 | 47\% | 378 | 53\% | 346 | 43\% | 385 | 58\% | 425 | 44\% | 499 | 63\% | 425 | 48\% | 499 | 35\% | 424 | 31\% | 499 |
| Spanis | 55\% | 299 | 35\% | 309 | 69\% | 299 | 43 | 309 | 58\% | 328 | 44\% | 332 | 57\% | 290 | 47\% | 350 | 58\% | 290 | 48\% | 350 | 38\% | 290 | 40\% | 350 |
| Tagalog (Plipin | 58\% | 171 | 47\% | 158 | 77\% | 171 | 65\% | 158 | 65\% | 175 | 67\% | 169 | 69\% | 232 | 66\% | 207 | 77\% | 232 | 76\% | 207 | 56\% | 232 | 65\% | 207 |
| Tamil | 67\% | 962 | 58\% | 946 | 85\% | 962 | 72\% | 946 | 78\% | 971 | 76\% | ${ }^{953}$ | 78\% | 954 | 64\% | 1185 | 85\% | 954 | 72\% | 1185 | 74\% | 953 | 70\% | 1185 |
| Urdu | 63\% | 876 | 53\% | 885 | 80\% | 876 | 63\% | 885 | 68\% | 882 | 63\% | 888 | 74\% | 734 | 63\% | 750 | 79\% | 734 | 68\% | 750 | 59\% | ${ }^{733}$ | 55\% | 750 |
| Vetinamese | 61\% | 275 | 54\% | 274 | 78\% | 275 | 62\% | 274 | 72\% | 282 | 65\% | 280 | 75\% | 259 | 63\% | 360 | 80\% | 259 | 69\% | 360 | 66\% | 259 | 69\% | 360 |
| TDSB (EQAO) | 65\% | 15370 | 57 | 6 | 77 | 370 | 64\% | 866 | 70\% | 72 |  | 7210 | 74\% | 16935 | 63\% | 585 | 75\% | 16935 | 66\% | 18355 | 62\% | 16923 |  |  |

Notes: Table 1 represents close to $100 \%$ (see the total counts for each subject and grade at the top of the table) of the students from both EQAO and SIS for all subjects in 2011-12 and 2007-08. EQAO results for the TDSB are as published by EQAO in 2007-08 and 2011-12.
*Numbers too small to report. Results are reported only for groups with 100 or more students.
THE TREND: Students' academic achievement based on the EQAO assessments in Grade 3 and Grade 6 varies across language groups. For example, in Grade 3 Reading: Serbian (78\%), Chinese (77\%), Greek (73\%), Russian (71\%), Hindi (71\%), Gujarati (70\%), Korean (70\%), Bengali (69\%), Punjabi (68\%), and Tamil (67\%), are among the language groups that have a higher proportion of students achieving at or above the provincial standard in Reading compared to other language groups and the TDSB in general (65\%). The language groups with a lower percentage of students achieving at Levels 3 and 4, relative to the TDSB in Grade 3 Reading, are Hungarian (17\%), Dari (50\%), Somali ( $54 \%$ ), Spanish ( $55 \%$ ), Arabic ( $56 \%$ ), Tagalog (Pilipino) (58\%), Persian (Farsi) (58\%), Vietnamese (61\%), Urdu (63\%), and English (64\%) (see Table 1).

The student achievement pattern shows somewhat similar pattern across grades and subject areas with some proportionate differences. Compared to the 2007-08 results, most language groups have improved their achievement in all three subject areas. In 2011-12, Hungarian had the lowest achievement rate amongst student language groups. Although the proportion of students at the provincial standard (Levels 3 and 4) remained below that of the TDSB, in general with a few exceptions, some of the targeted groups* (e.g., Somali, Spanish) showed higher improvement rates compared to the TDSB. For example, in Grade 3 Reading the percentage of students achieving at the provincial standard improved $16 \%$ ( $38 \%$ to $54 \%$ ) for Somali speaking students and $20 \%$ ( $35 \%$ to $55 \%$ ) for Spanish speaking students.

[^4]* For further information on the Opportunity Gap Action Plan for the targeted
groups please visit:
hhtt://schoolweb.tdsb.on.ca/Portals/strategyplanning/docs/2.\%200pportunity\% 20Gap\%20Action\%20Plan.pdf


## 201.1-12 EQAO: Grade 3 \& 6 Achievement Outcomes

## What is it?

- Family Background and Student Achievement: This section presents trends on students' academic achievement compared to their family background characteristics such as parents' place of birth, parental presence at home, parental education, and family income. Results are reported only for groups with 100 or more students.
A. Parents' Place of Birth: Students' family background information is from parents of Grade 3 and 6 students who completed the TDSB's 2008 and 2012 Parent Census.


Notes: Figures 19-21 represents 65\% (9,947 in Reading and Writing and 11,061 in Mathematics) of the students from both EQAO and Parent Census for all subjects in 2011-12 and 65\% (10,301 in Reading and Writing and 11,191 in Mathematics) of the students from both EQAO and Parent Census for all subjects in 2007-08. EQAO results for the TDSB are as published by EQAO in 2007-08 and 2011-12.
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Notes: Figures 22-24 represent $60 \%$ ( 10,142 in Reading and Writing and 10,137 in Mathematics) of the students from both EQAO and Parent Census for all subjects in 2011-12 and 59\% (10,886 in Reading, Writing, and Mathematics) of the students from both EQAO and Parent Census for all subjects in 2007-08. EQAO results for the TDSB are as published by EQAO in 2007-08 and 2011-12.

THE TREND: In 2011-12, students with parents born outside Canada are slightly less likely to achieve at or above the provincial standard when compared with students whose parents are both born in Canada in Grade 3 Reading ( $68 \%$ versus $74 \%$ ) and Mathematics ( $75 \%$ versus $79 \%$ ). However, they are more likely to achieve at similar levels in Grade 3 Writing ( $82 \%$ versus $83 \%$ ). In Grade 6, there is no difference in Mathematics ( $70 \%$ ) and only a $1 \%$ difference in Writing ( $82 \%$ versus $81 \%$ ) between students of immigrant parents and those born in Canada. These trends are similar with the TDSB's 2008 Parent Census. All groups increased or stayed the same in the percentage of students achieving at or above the provincial standard when compared to 2007-08 (see Figures 19-24).
B. Parental Presence at Home: Students' family background information is from parents of Grade 3 and 6 students who completed the TDSB's 2008 and 2012 Parent Census.


Notes: Figures 25-27 represents 65\% (9,939 in Reading and Writing and 11,051 in Mathematics) of the students from both EQAO and Parent Census for all subjects in 2011-12 and 65\% (10,273 in Reading and Writing and 11,168 in Mathematics) of the students from both EQAO and Parent Census for all subjects in 2007-08. EQAO results for the TDSB are as published by EQAO in 2007-08 and 2011-12.


Notes: Figures $28-30$ represent $60 \%$ ( 10,127 in Reading and Writing and 10,122 in Mathematics) of the students from both EQAO and Parent Census for all subjects in 2011-12 and $59 \%$ ( 10,844 in Reading, Writing, and Mathematics) of the students from both EQAO and Parent Census for all subjects in 2007-08. EQAO results for the TDSB are as published by EQAO in 2007-08 and 2011-12.

THE TREND: Similar with the TDSB's 2008 Parent Census, students with both parents present at home are more likely to achieve at or above the provincial standard when compared to students who are living with one parent or other adult(s). For example, in Grade 3 Reading $72 \%$ of students living with two parents achieved at or above the provincial standard compared to $58 \%$ of students who were living with one parent at home. This is consistent across grades and subjects (see Figures 25-30).

## 2011-12 EOAO: Grade 3 \& 6 Achievement Outcomes

C. Parents' Educational Background: Students' family background information is from parents of Grade 3 and 6 students who completed the TDSB's 2008 and 2012 Parent Census.
Parental Education -
Gr. 3 Reading

Figure 31
Parental Education Gr. 3 Writing

Figure 32
Parental Education Gr. 3 Mathematics

Figure 33
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Notes: Figures 31-33 represents 63\% (9,732 in Reading and Writing) and 64\% (10,838 in Mathematics) of the students from both EQAO and Parent Census in 201112 and $64 \%$ ( 10,168 in Reading and Writing and 11,062 in Mathematics) of the students from both EQAO and Parent Census for all subjects in 2007-08. EQAO results for the TDSB are as published by EQAO in 2007-08 and 2011-12.

## Parental Education <br> Gr. 6 Reading

Figure 34
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Parental Education Gr. 6 Writing
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Parental Education Gr. 6 Mathematics

Figure 36

## \% of Students at Level 3 and Above

Notes: Figures $34-36$ represent $59 \%$ ( 9,914 in Reading and Writing and 9,909 in Mathematics) of the students from both EQAO and Parent Census for all subjects in 2011 -12 and $58 \%$ (10,721 in Reading, Writing, and Mathematics) of the students from both EQAO and Parent Census for all subjects in 2007-08. EQAO results for the TDSB are as published by EQAO in 2007-08 and 2011-12.

THE TREND: Findings from both the TDSB's 2008 and 2012 Parent Census suggest that students with university educated parents are more likely to achieve at or above provincial the standard in all subjects for the Grade 3 and 6 EQAO assessments compared to those students whose parents did not attend university. Furthermore, students with university educated parents are more likely to achieve at or above the provincial standard than students with college educated parents. This gap further increases when comparing students with university educated parents with those students of secondary or elementary school level educated parents (up to 40\%). In general, the achievement gap based on parents' educational level (university versus elementary) increased in most of the subject areas and grades when compared with the TDSB's 2008 Parent Census results (see Figures 31-36).

## 2011－12 EOAO：Grade 3 \＆ 6 Achievement Outcomes

D．Family Socio－economic Status（SES）：Students＇family background information is from parents of Grade 3 and 6 students who completed the TDSB＇s 2008 and 2012 Parent Census．


| Family SE Status－ Gr． 3 Writing |  | Figure 38 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 高号 |  | Total |
|  | 2011－12 | 90\％ 2041 |
|  | 2007－08 | 82\％ 1935 |
| 言䰠 |  |  |
|  | $2011-12$ | 88\％840 |
|  | 2007－08 | 77\％ 951 |
|  |  |  |
|  | 2011－12 | 85\％ 1402 |
|  | 2007－08 | 73\％ 1688 |
| 高宮宽宗 | 2011－12 | 82\％ 1970 |
|  | 2007－08 | 69\％ 2235 |
|  |  |  |
|  | 2011－12 | 75\％ 2649 |
|  | 2007－08 | 59\％ 2547 |
| 品哥 | 2011－12 | 77\％ 15370 |
|  | 2007－08 | 64\％ 15866 |


$\%$ of Students at Level 3 and Above

Notes：Figures 37－39 represents 58\％（8，902 in Reading and Writing and 9，921 in Mathematics）of the students from both EQAO and Parent Census for all subjects in 2011－12 and 59\％（9，356 in Reading and Writing and 10，175 in Mathematics）of the students from both EQAO and Parent Census for all subjects in 2007－08． EQAO results for the TDSB are as published by EQAO in 2007－08 and 2011－12．


Notes：Figures $40-42$ represent $53 \%$（ 8,999 in Reading and Writing and 8，996 in Mathematics）of the students from both EQAO and Parent Census for all subjects in 2011－12 and $53 \%$（ 9,758 in Reading，Writing，and Mathematics）of the students from both EQAO and Parent Census for all subjects in 2007－08．EQAO results for the TDSB are as published by EQAO in 2007－08 and 2011－12．

THE TREND：Students＇family SES，as measured by family income，is strongly associated with student achievement in the Grade 3 and 6 EQAO assessments．Students within the highest family income category（ $\$ 100,000+$ ）have the highest achievement in all grades and subjects．For example，in the 2011－12 school year $85 \%$ of Grade 3 students within the highest family income category（ $\$ 100,000+$ ）achieved at or above the provincial standard in Reading compared to $57 \%$ of the students with the lowest family income category（less than $\$ 30,000$ ）．Although across most income groups the percentage of students at or above the provincial standard increased，in general students with the lowest family income category showed a similar or higher rate of improvement in all subject areas compared to those students in the highest family income category．These trends are similar with the TDSB＇s 2008 Parent Census（see Figures 37－42）．


[^0]:    Notes: Figures 7-9 represent $65 \%$ ( 9,958 in Reading and Writing and 11,073 in Mathematics) of the students from both EQAO and Parent Census for all subjects in 2011-12 and $66 \%$ ( 10,431 in Reading and Writing and 11,327 in Mathematics) of the students from both EQAO and Parent Census for all subjects in 2007-08. EQAO results for the TDSB are as published by EQAO in 2007-08 and 2011-12.

[^1]:    * For further information on the most recent TDSB collective student achievement and well-being targets and the Years of Action plan please visit:

[^2]:    * For further information on the Opportunity Gap Action Plan for the targeted groups please visit:
    http://schoolweb.tdsb.on.ca/Portals/strategyplanning/docs/2.\%200pportunity\%
    20Gap\%20Action\%20Plan.pdf

    | http://schoolweb.tdsb.on.ca/Portals/strategyplanning/docs/2.\%200pportunity\% |
    | :--- |
    | $\underline{\text { 20Gap\%20Action\%20Plan.pdf }}$ |

[^3]:    Notes：Figures 13 －15 represent $100 \%$（ 15,416 in reading and Writing and 17,018 in Mathematics）of the students from both EQAO and SIS for all subjects in 2011－ 12 and $100 \%$（ 15,800 in Reading and Writing and 17，143 in Mathematics）of the students from both EQAO and SIS for all subjects in 2007－08．EQAO results for the TDSB are as published by EQAO in 2007－08 and 2011－12．
    ＊Numbers too small to report．Results are reported only for groups with 100 or more students．

[^4]:    * For further information on the most recent TDSB collective student achievement and well-being targets and the Years of Action plan please visit: http://www.tdsb.on.ca/AboutUs/DirectorofEducation/YearsofAction.aspx

